

# A NEW SUBCLASS OF CLOSE-TO-CONVEX FUNCTIONS

J. K. PRAJAPAT, AMBUJ KUMAR MISHRA, AND P. K. TONDAN

ABSTRACT. In this work, we introduce and investigate an interesting subclass  $\mathcal{X}_t(\gamma)$  of analytic and close-to-convex functions in the open unit disk  $\mathbb{U}$ . For functions belonging to the class  $\mathcal{X}_t(\gamma)$ , we drive several properties including (for example) the coefficient estimates, distortion theorems, covering theorems and radius of convexity.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  denote the class of functions of the form

$$(1.1) \quad f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n,$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk  $\mathbb{U} = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |z| < 1\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}^*$  and  $\mathcal{K}$  be the usual classes of function which are also univalent, starlike and convex, respectively. We also denote by  $\mathcal{S}^*(\gamma)$  the class of starlike function of order  $\gamma$ , where  $0 \leq \gamma < 1$ .

**Definition 1.1.** *If  $f$  and  $g$  are two analytic functions in  $\mathbb{U}$ , then  $f$  is said to be subordinate to  $g$ , and write  $f(z) \prec g(z)$ , if there exists a function  $w$  analytic in  $\mathbb{U}$  with  $w(0) = 0$ , and  $|w(z)| < 1$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{U}$ , such that  $f(z) = g(w(z))$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{U}$ . Furthermore, if the function  $g$  is univalent in  $\mathbb{U}$ , then  $f(z) \prec g(z)$  if and only if  $f(0) = g(0)$  and  $f(\mathbb{U}) \subset g(\mathbb{U})$  in  $\mathbb{U}$ .*

Cho and Zhou [2] introduced following subclass  $\mathcal{K}_s$  of analytic function, which indeed a subclass of close-to-convex functions.

**Definition 1.2.** *A function  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  is said to be in the class  $\mathcal{K}_s$ , if there exist a function  $g \in \mathcal{S}^*(\frac{1}{2})$ , such that*

$$(1.2) \quad \Re \left( -\frac{z^2 f'(z)}{g(z)g(-z)} \right) > 0, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}.$$

Recently, Knwalczyk and Leś-Bomba [3] extended Definition 1.2, by introducing the following subclass of analytic functions.

**Definition 1.3.** *A function  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  is said to be in the class  $\mathcal{K}_s(\gamma)$ ,  $0 \leq \gamma < 1$ , if there exist a function  $g \in \mathcal{S}^*(\frac{1}{2})$ , such that*

$$(1.3) \quad \Re \left( -\frac{z^2 f'(z)}{g(z)g(-z)} \right) > \gamma, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}.$$

Motivated by above defined function classes, we introduce the following subclass of analytic functions.

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 30C45.

*Key words and phrases.* Analytic Functions, Univalent Function, Starlike Functions, Close-to-Convex Function.

**Definition 1.4.** A function  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  is said to be in the class  $\mathcal{X}_t(\gamma)$  ( $|t| \leq 1, t \neq 0, 0 \leq \gamma < 1$ ), if there exist a function  $g \in \mathcal{S}^*\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$ , such that

$$(1.4) \quad \Re \left( \frac{tz^2 f'(z)}{g(z)g(tz)} \right) > \gamma, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}.$$

In terms of subordination (1.4) can be written as

$$(1.5) \quad \frac{tz^2 f'(z)}{g(z)g(tz)} \prec \frac{1 + (1 - 2\gamma)z}{1 - z}, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}.$$

By simple calculation we see that inequality (1.5) is equivalent to

$$(1.6) \quad \left| \frac{tz^2 f'(z)}{g(z)g(tz)} - 1 \right| < \left| \frac{tz^2 f'(z)}{g(z)g(tz)} + 1 - 2\gamma \right|$$

We see that

$$\mathcal{X}_{-1}(\gamma) = \mathcal{K}_s(\gamma) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{X}_{-1}(0) = \mathcal{K}_s.$$

We now present an example of functions belonging to this class.

*Example 1.1.* The function

$$(1.7) \quad f_1(z) = \frac{2\gamma - 1 - t}{(t - 1)^2} \ln \frac{1 - tz}{1 - z} - \frac{2(1 - 2\gamma)z}{(1 - t)(1 - z)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}.$$

belongs to the class  $\mathcal{X}_t(\gamma)$ . Indeed,  $f_1$  is analytic in  $\mathbb{U}$  and  $f_1(0) = 0$ . Moreover,

$$f_1'(z) = \frac{1 + (1 - 2\gamma)z}{(1 - tz)(1 - z)^2}, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}.$$

If we put

$$(1.8) \quad g_1(z) = \frac{z}{1 - z}, \quad z \in \mathbb{U},$$

then  $g_1 \in \mathcal{S}^*\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$  and

$$\Re \left( \frac{tz^2 f_1'(z)}{g_1(z)g_1(tz)} \right) = \Re \left( \frac{1 + (1 - 2\gamma)z}{1 - z} \right) > \gamma, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}.$$

This means that  $f_1 \in \mathcal{X}_t(\gamma)$  and is generated by  $g_1$ .

Cho and Zhou [2] and Knwalczyk and Leś-Bomba [3], have obtained properties for the function classes  $\mathcal{K}_s$  and  $\mathcal{K}_s(\gamma)$ , respectively. Moreover, some other interesting subclasses of  $\mathcal{A}$  related to the function classes  $\mathcal{K}_s$  and  $\mathcal{K}_s(\gamma)$  were considered in [4, 5]. In the present paper, we obtained coefficient estimates, distortion theorems, covering theorems and radius of convexity of the function class defined by (1.4).

## 2. COEFFICIENT INEQUALITIES

We first prove the following result.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $g(z) \in \mathcal{S}^*\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$  and given by

$$(2.1) \quad g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n, \quad z \in \mathbb{U},$$

If we put

$$(2.2) \quad F(z) = \frac{g(z)g(tz)}{tz} = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} c_n z^n, \quad z \in \mathbb{U},$$

then

$$(2.3) \quad c_n = b_n + b_2 b_{n-1} t + b_3 b_{n-2} t^2 + \dots + b_{n-1} b_2 t^{n-2} + b_n t^{n-1},$$

and  $F(z) \in \mathcal{S}^*$ .

*Proof.* Result (2.2) can be found easily. Also  $|tz| \leq |z| < 1$ , then from the definitions of starlike function, we have

$$\Re \left( \frac{z g'(z)}{g(z)} \right) > \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \Re \left( \frac{tz g'(tz)}{g(tz)} \right) > \frac{1}{2}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \Re \left( \frac{z F'(z)}{F(z)} \right) &= \Re \left( \frac{z g'(z)}{g(z)} \right) + \Re \left( \frac{tz g'(tz)}{g(tz)} \right) - 1 \\ &> \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} - 1 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the Theorem 2.1. □

*Remark 2.1.* From the definition of the class  $\mathcal{X}_t(\gamma)$  and Theorem 2.1, we have

$$\Re \left( \frac{z f'(z)}{F(z)} \right) > \gamma \quad (0 \leq \gamma < 1; z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

thus

$$\mathcal{X}_t(\gamma) \subset \mathcal{K}_s(\gamma) \subset \mathcal{K}_s \subset \mathcal{S}.$$

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $g(z) \in \mathcal{S}^* \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)$  be a function given by (2.1) and  $0 \leq \gamma < 1$

If an analytic function  $f$  in  $\mathbb{U}$  defined by (1.1) satisfies the inequality

$$(2.4) \quad \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} 2n |a_n| + (|1 - 2\gamma| + 1) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |c_n| \leq 2(1 - \gamma)$$

where for  $n = 2, 3, 4, \dots$ , the coefficient of  $c_n$  are given by (2.3), then  $f \in \mathcal{X}_t(\gamma)$  and it is generated by  $g$ . In particular if

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n |a_n| \leq 1 - \gamma$$

*Proof.* We set for  $f$  given by (1.1) and  $g$  defined by (2.1)

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} A &= \left| z f'(z) - \frac{g(z)g(tz)}{tz} \right| - \left| z f'(z) - (1 - 2\gamma) \frac{g(z)g(tz)}{tz} \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{n=2}^{n=\infty} n a_n z^n - \sum_{n=2}^{n=\infty} c_n z^n \right| - \left| (2 - \gamma)z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n a_n z^n + (1 - 2\gamma) \sum_{n=2}^{n=\infty} c_n z^n \right| \end{aligned}$$

hence for  $z \in \mathbb{U}$ , we have the inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
A &\leq \sum_{n=2}^{n=\infty} n|a_n||z|^n + \sum_{n=2}^{n=\infty} |c_n||z|^n - \left( (2-2\gamma)|z|^n - \sum_{n=2}^{n=\infty} n|a_n||z|^n - |1-2\gamma| \sum_{n=2}^{n=\infty} |c_n||z|^n \right) \\
&= -(2-2\gamma)|z| + \sum_{n=2}^{n=\infty} 2n|a_n||z|^n + (|1-2\gamma|+1) \sum_{n=2}^{n=\infty} |c_n||z|^n \\
&< \left( -(2-2\gamma) + \sum_{n=2}^{n=\infty} 2n|a_n| + (|1-2\gamma|+1) \sum_{n=2}^{n=\infty} |c_n| \right) |z| \\
&\leq 0
\end{aligned}$$

From the above calculation we obtain that  $A < 0$ . Thus by (2.5) we have

$$\left| z f'(z) - \frac{g(z)g(tz)}{tz} \right| < \left| z f'(z) + \frac{-(1-2\gamma)g(z)g(tz)}{tz} \right| \quad z \in \mathbb{U}$$

which is equivalent to inequality (1.6) and also to the inequality (1.4). Thus  $f \in \mathcal{X}_t(\gamma)$  and it complete the proof.

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $0 \leq \gamma < 1$ . Suppose that an analytic function  $f$  given by (1.1) and  $g \in S^*(\frac{1}{2})$  given by (2.1) are such that condition (1.4) holds. Then for  $n = 2, 3, \dots$  we have*

$$(2.6) \quad n^2|a_n|^2 - 4|1-\gamma|^2 \leq (|2\gamma-1|^2 - 1) \sum_{k=2}^{k=n} |c_k|^2$$

where  $c_n$  is defined by (2.3). In particular, if  $g(z) = z$ , then

$$n|a_n| \leq 2(1-\gamma)$$

*Proof.* Since  $f \in \mathcal{X}_t(\gamma)$ , for some  $g \in S^*(\frac{1}{2})$  the inequality (1.6) holds. From the lemma, which was proved by Owa(sec[6]) with  $\alpha = \beta = 1$ , we have

$$\frac{z f'(z)}{g(z)} = \frac{1 + (2\gamma-1)z\phi(z)}{1 + z\phi(z)} \quad z \in \mathbb{U}$$

where  $\phi$  is an analytic function in  $U$ ,  $|\phi(z)| \leq 1$ , for  $z \in \mathbb{U}$  and  $g$  is given by (2.1). Then

$$(z f'(z) - (2\gamma-1)F(z)) z\phi(z) = F(z) - z f'(z)$$

Now if we put  $z\phi(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{n=\infty} v_n z^n$   
we see that  $|z\phi(z)| \leq |z|$ , for  $z \in \mathbb{U}$ . Thus

$$(2.7) \quad \left( (2-2\gamma)z + \sum_{n=2}^{n=\infty} n a_n z^n - (2\gamma-1) \sum_{n=2}^{n=\infty} c_n z^n \right) \sum_{n=1}^{n=\infty} v_n z^n = \sum_{n=2}^{n=\infty} a_n z^n - \sum_{n=2}^{n=\infty} n a_n z^n$$

we compare co-efficients in (2.7). Hence we can write for  $n \geq 2$

$$\left( (2-2\gamma)z + \sum_{k=2}^{k=n-1} k a_k z^k - (2\gamma-1) \sum_{k=2}^{k=n} c_k z^k \right) z\phi(z) = \sum_{k=2}^{k=n} c_k z^k - \sum_{k=2}^{k=n} k a_k z^k + \sum_{k=n+1}^{k=\infty} d_k z^k$$

Then we square the modulus of both sides of the above inequality and then we integrate along  $|z| = r < 1$ . After using the fact that  $|z\phi(z)| \leq |z| < 1$ , we obtain

$$\sum_{k=2}^{k=n} |c_k|^2 r^{2k} + \sum_{k=2}^{k=n} |ka_k|^2 r^{2k} + \sum_{k=n+1}^{k=\infty} |d_k|^2 r^{2k} < |2-2\gamma|^2 r^2 + \sum_{k=2}^{k=n-1} |ka_k|^2 r^{2k} + |2\gamma-1|^2 \sum_{k=2}^{k=n} |c_k|^2 r^{2k}$$

Letting  $r \rightarrow 1$ , we have

$$\sum_{k=2}^{k=n} |c_k|^2 + \sum_{k=2}^{k=n} |ka_k|^2 \leq |2-2\gamma|^2 + \sum_{k=2}^{k=n-1} |ka_k|^2 + |2\gamma-1|^2 \sum_{k=2}^{k=n} |c_k|^2$$

Hence

$$k^2 |a_k|^2 - 4(1-\gamma)^2 \leq (|2\gamma-1|^2 - 1) \sum_{k=2}^{k=n} |c_k|^2$$

Thus we have the inequality (2.6), which finishes the proof. □

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $0 \leq \gamma < 1$ . If the function  $f \in \mathcal{X}_t(\gamma)$ , then*

$$(2.8) \quad |a_n| \leq \frac{1}{n} \left\{ |c_n| + 2(1-\gamma) \left( 1 + \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} |c_k| \right) \right\}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

*Proof.* By setting

$$(2.9) \quad \frac{1}{1-\gamma} \left( \frac{zf'(z)}{F(z)} - \gamma \right) = h(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{U},$$

or equivalently

$$(2.10) \quad zf'(z) = [1 + (1-\gamma)(h(z) - 1)] F(z),$$

we get

$$(2.11) \quad h(z) = 1 + d_1 z + d_2 z^2 + \dots, \quad z \in \mathbb{U},$$

where  $\Re(h(z)) > 0$ . Now using (2.2) and (2.10) in (2.11), we get

$$\begin{aligned} 2a_2 &= (1-\gamma)d_1 + c_2 \\ 3a_3 &= (1-\gamma)(d_2 + d_1 c_2) + c_3 \\ 4a_4 &= (1-\gamma)(d_3 + d_2 c_2 + d_1 c_3) + c_4 \\ &\vdots \\ na_n &= (1-\gamma)(d_{n-1} + d_{n-2} c_2 + \dots + d_1 c_{n-1}) + c_n. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\Re(h(z)) > 0$ , then  $|d_n| \leq 2$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Using this property, we get

$$\begin{aligned} 2|a_2| &\leq |c_2| + 2(1-\gamma), \\ 3|a_3| &\leq |c_3| + 2(1-\gamma) \{1 + |c_2|\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$4|a_4| \leq |c_4| + 2(1-\gamma) \{1 + |c_2| + |c_3|\},$$

respectively. Using the principle of mathematical induction, we obtain (2.4). This completes proof of Theorem 2.4 □

**Corollary 2.1.** *Let  $0 \leq \gamma < 1$ . If the function  $f \in \mathcal{X}_t(\gamma)$ , then*

$$(2.12) \quad |a_n| \leq 1 + (n-1)(1-\gamma).$$

*Proof.* From Theorem 1, we know that  $F(z) \in \mathcal{S}^*$ , thus  $|c_n| \leq n$ . The assertion (2.12), can now easily derived from Theorem 2.  $\square$

*Remark 2.2.* Setting  $t = -1$  in (3

main3

), we find that

$$c_{2n} = 0, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

$$c_3 = 2b_3 - b_2^2, \quad c_5 = 2b_5 - 2b_2b_4 + b_3^2, \quad c_7 = 2b_7 - 2b_2b_6 + 2b_3b_5 - b_4^2, \dots$$

thus

$$c_{2n-1} = B_{2n-1}, \quad n = 2, 3, \dots,$$

where

$$B_{2n-1} = 2b_{2n-1} - 2b_2b_{2n-2} + \dots + (-1)^n 2b_{n-1}b_{n+1} + (-1)^{n+1}b_n^2, \quad n = 2, 3, \dots.$$

Therefore, setting  $t = -1$  in Theorem 2.2 and using the known inequality [2, Theorem B]

$$|B_{2n-1}| \leq 1, \quad n = 2, 3, \dots,$$

we get the corresponding result due to Geo and Zhou [2].

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let  $0 \leq \gamma < 1$ . If the function  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  satisfies*

$$(2.13) \quad \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \{|na_n - c_n| + (1 - \gamma)|c_n|\} \leq 1 - \gamma, \quad z \in \mathbb{U},$$

then  $f(z) \in \mathcal{X}_t(\gamma)$

*Proof.* If  $f$  satisfies (1.2), then

$$(2.14) \quad \left| \frac{tz^2 f'(z)}{g(z) g(tz)} - 1 \right| < 1 - \gamma, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}.$$

Evidently, since

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{tz^2 f'(z)}{g(z) g(tz)} - 1 &= \frac{z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n a_n z^n}{z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} c_n z^n} - 1 \\ &= \frac{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (na_n - c_n) z^{n-1}}{1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} c_n z^{n-1}}, \end{aligned}$$

we see that

$$\left| \frac{tz^2 f'(z)}{g(z) g(tz)} - 1 \right| \leq \frac{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |na_n - c_n|}{1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |c_n|}.$$

Therefore, if  $f(z)$  satisfies (2.13), then we have (2.14). This completes the proof of Theorem 2.5  $\square$

## 3. DISTORTION AND COVERING THEOREM

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $f \in \mathcal{X}_t(\gamma)$ . Then the unit disk  $\mathbb{U}$  is mapped by  $f(z)$  on a domain that contain the disk  $|w(z)| < \frac{1}{4-\gamma}$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $f(z) \in \mathcal{X}_t(\gamma)$ , and let  $w_0$  be any complex number such that  $f(z) \neq w_0$  for  $z \in \mathbb{U}$ . Then  $w_0 \neq 0$  and

$$(3.1) \quad \frac{w_0 f(z)}{w_0 - f(z)} = z + \left( a_2 + \frac{1}{w_0} \right) z^2 + \dots$$

is univalent in  $\mathbb{U}$ . This leads to

$$(3.2) \quad \left| a_2 + \frac{1}{w_0} \right| \leq 2,$$

on the other hand, from Corollary 2.1, we know that

$$(3.3) \quad |a_2| \leq 2 - \gamma, \quad 0 \leq \gamma < 1.$$

combining (3.2) and (3.3), we deduce that

$$(3.4) \quad |w_0| \geq \frac{1}{|a_2| + 2} \geq \frac{1}{4 - \gamma}.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1. □

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $f \in \mathcal{X}_t(\gamma)$ , then we have*

$$(3.5) \quad \frac{1 - (1 - 2\gamma)r}{(1 + r)^3} \leq |f'(z)| \leq \frac{1 + (1 - 2\gamma)r}{(1 - r)^3} \quad (|z| = r, 0 \leq r < 1)$$

and

$$(3.6) \quad \int_0^r \frac{1 - (1 - 2\gamma)\tau}{(1 + \tau)^3} d\tau \leq |f(z)| \leq \int_0^r \frac{1 + (1 - 2\gamma)\tau}{(1 - \tau)^3} d\tau \quad (|z| = r, 0 \leq r < 1)$$

*Proof.* Suppose that  $f(z) \in \mathcal{X}_t(\gamma)$ . From the definition of subordination between analytic functions, we deduce that

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1 - (1 - 2\gamma)r}{1 + r} &\leq \frac{1 - (1 - 2\gamma)|w(z)|}{1 + |w(z)|} \leq \left| \frac{tz^2 f'(z)}{g(z)g(tz)} \right| = \left| \frac{z f'(z)}{F(z)} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1 - (1 - 2\gamma)|w(z)|}{1 + |w(z)|} \leq \frac{1 + (1 - 2\gamma)r}{1 - r} \quad (|z| = r, 0 \leq r < 1) \end{aligned}$$

where  $w$  is Schwarz function with  $w(0) = 0$  and  $|w(z)| < 1$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{U}$ . Since

$$F(z) = \frac{g(z)g(tz)}{tz}$$

is an starlike function, it is well known that [1]

$$(3.8) \quad \frac{r}{(1 + r)^2} \leq |F(z)| \leq \frac{r}{(1 - r)^2} \quad (|z| = r, 0 \leq r < 1).$$

Now it follows from (3.7) and (3.8), that

$$\frac{1 - (1 - 2\gamma)r}{(1 + r)^3} \leq |f'(z)| \leq \frac{1 + (1 - 2\gamma)r}{(1 - r)^3} \quad (|z| = r, 0 \leq r < 1).$$

Let  $z = re^{i\theta}$  ( $0 < r < 1$ ). If  $\mathcal{L}$  denotes that *closed* line segment in the complex  $\zeta$ -plane from  $\zeta = 0$  and  $\zeta = z$ , then we have

$$f(z) = \int_{\mathcal{L}} f'(\zeta) d\zeta = \int_0^r f'(\tau e^{i\theta}) e^{i\theta} d\tau \quad (|z| = r, 0 \leq r < 1).$$

Thus by using upper estimate in (3.5), we have

$$|f(z)| = \left| \int_0^z f'(\zeta) d\zeta \right| \leq \int_0^r |f'(\tau e^{i\theta})| d\tau \leq \int_0^r \frac{1 + (1 - 2\gamma)\tau}{(1 - \tau)^3} d\tau \quad (|z| = r, 0 \leq r < 1),$$

which yields the right hand side of the inequality in (3.6). In order to prove the lower bound in (3.6), it is sufficient to show that it holds true for  $z_0$  nearest to zero, where  $|z_0| = r$  ( $0 < r < 1$ ). Moreover, we have

$$|f(z)| \geq |f(z_0)| \quad (|z| = r, 0 \leq r < 1).$$

Since  $f(z)$  is close-to-convex function in the open unit disk  $\mathbb{U}$ , it is univalent in  $\mathbb{U}$ . We deduce that the original image of the closed line segment  $\mathcal{L}_0$  in the complex  $\zeta$ -plane from  $\zeta = 0$  and  $\zeta = f(z_0)$  is a piece of arc  $\Gamma$  in the disk  $\mathbb{U}_r$ , given by

$$\mathbb{U}_r = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |z| \leq r \text{ (} 0 \leq r < 1)\}.$$

Since, in accordance with (3.5), we have

$$|f(z)| = \int_{f(\Gamma)} |dw| = \int_{\Gamma} |f'(z)| |dz| \geq \int_0^r \frac{1 - (1 - 2\gamma)\tau}{(1 + \tau)^3} d\tau \quad (|z| = r, 0 \leq r < 1).$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.2.  $\square$

#### 4. RADIUS OF CONVEXITY

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $f \in \mathcal{X}_t(\gamma)$ , then  $f(z)$  is convex in  $|z_0| < r_0 = 2 - \sqrt{3}$ .*

*Proof.* When  $f(z) \in \mathcal{X}_t(\gamma)$ , there exists  $g(z) \in \mathcal{S}^*(1/2)$  such that (1.4) holds, then  $F(z)$  defined by (2.2) is a starlike function, so from (1.4) we have

$$(4.1) \quad zf'(z) = F(z)p(z),$$

where  $p(0) = 1$  and  $\Re(p(z)) > 0$ . From (4.1), we have

$$1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} = \frac{zF'(z)}{F(z)} + \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)},$$

so on using well know estimates [1], we have

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \Re \left\{ 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right\} &= \Re \left\{ \frac{zF'(z)}{F(z)} \right\} + \Re \left\{ \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} \right\} \\ &\geq \frac{1-r}{1+r} - \left| \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} \right| \\ &\geq \frac{1-r}{1+r} - \frac{2r}{1-r^2} = \frac{r^2 - 4r + 1}{1-r^2}. \end{aligned}$$

It is easily seen that, if  $r^2 - 4r + 1 > 0$ , then  $\Re \left\{ 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right\} > 0$ . Let

$$(4.3) \quad H(r) = r^2 - 4r + 1,$$



since  $H(0) = 1, H(1) = -2$ , and  $H'(r) = 2r - 4 < 0, 0 \leq r < 1$ , this shows that  $H(r)$  is monotonically decreasing function and thus equation  $H(r) = r^2 - 4r + 1$  has a root  $r_0$  in interval  $(0,1)$ . On solving equation (4.3), we get  $r_0 = 2 - \sqrt{3}$ .

Thus when  $r < r_0, \Re \left\{ 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right\} > 0$ , that is,  $f(z)$  is convex in  $|z| < r_0$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 4.1. □

### 5. FEKETE-SZEGO INEQUALITY

In this section we assume that the function  $\phi(z)$  is an univalent analytic function with positive real part that maps the unit disk  $\mathbb{U}$  onto a starlike region which is symmetric with respect to real axis and is normalized by  $\phi(0) = 1$ , and  $\phi'(0) > 0$ . In such case, the function  $\phi$  has an expression of the form  $\phi(z) = 1 + B_1z + B_2z^2 + \dots, B_1 > 0$

**Theorem 5.1.** *for a function  $f \in \mathcal{X}_t(\gamma)$ , the following sharp estimate holds:*

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{4}{3} + \max \left\{ \frac{2 - 2\gamma}{3}, \left| \frac{2\gamma}{3} + \mu \frac{\gamma^2}{3} \right| \right\} \quad (\mu \in \mathbb{C})$$

*Proof.* Since the function  $f \in \mathcal{X}_t(\gamma)$ , there is a normalized analytic function  $g \in \mathcal{S}^* \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)$  such that

$$\frac{tz^2 f'(z)}{g(z)g(tz)} \prec \phi(z)$$

By using the definition(1.1) we find a function  $w(z)$  analytic in  $\mathbb{U}$ , normalized by  $w(0) = 0$  satisfying  $|w(z)| < 1$  and

$$(5.1) \quad \frac{tz^2 f'(z)}{g(z)g(tz)} = \phi(w(z))$$

By writing  $w(z) = w_1z + w_2z^2 + \dots$  we see that

$$\phi(w(z)) = 1 - 2\gamma w_1z + \{2(1 - \gamma)w_2 - 2\gamma w_1^2\} z^2 + \dots \quad (5.2)$$

Also by  $g(z)$  given by (2.1)

$$\frac{g(z)g(tz)}{tz} = z + (b_2 + tb_2)z^2 + (b_3 + t^2b_3 + b_2^2t)z^3 + \dots$$

and therefore

$$\frac{tz}{g(z)g(tz)} = \frac{1}{z} - (b_2 + b_2t)z - (b_3 + b_3t^2 + b_2^2t)z^2 - \dots$$

Using this and the Taylor's expansion for  $zf'(z)$ , we get

$$(5.3) \quad \frac{tz^2 f'(z)}{g(z)g(tz)} = 1 + 2a_2z + (3a_3 - b_2 - b_2t)z^2 + \dots$$

using (5.1),(5.2)and (5.3)we get

$$\begin{aligned} 2a_2 &= -2\gamma w_1 \\ 3a_3 - b_2 - b_2t &= (2 - 2\gamma)w_2 - 2\gamma w_1^2 \\ 3a_3 &= (1 + t)b_2 + (2 - 2\gamma)w_2 - 2\gamma w_1^2 \end{aligned}$$

this shows that

$$a_3 - \mu a_2^2 = \frac{1}{3}(1+t)b_2 + \frac{2-2\gamma}{3} \left\{ w_2 - \left( \frac{2\gamma + \mu\gamma^2}{2-2\gamma} \right) \right\}$$

By using the following estimate ([7, inequality 7, p-10])

$$|w_2 - \lambda w_1^2| \leq \max\{1, |\lambda|\}, \quad (\lambda \in \mathbb{C})$$

for an analytic function  $w$  with  $w(0) = 0$  and  $|w(z)| < 1$  which is sharp for the function  $w(z) = z^2$  or  $w(z) = z$ , the desired result follows upon using the estimate that  $|1+t| \leq 2$ , and  $|b_2| \leq 2$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] I. Graham and G. Kohr, Geometric Function Theory in One and Higher Dimensions, Marcel Dekker, Inc. (2003).
- [2] C. Geo, S. Zhou, On a class of analytic functions related to the class to the starlike functions, *Kyungpook Math. J.* **45**(2005), 123-130.
- [3] J. Kowalczyk and E. Leś-Bomba, On a subclass of close-to-convex functions, *Appl. Math. Letters* **23**(2010), 1147-1151.
- [4] Qing-Hua Xu, H. M. Srivastava and Zhou Li, A certain subclass of analytic and close-to-convex functions, *Appl. Math. Letters* **24**(2011), 396-401.
- [5] Zhi-Gang Wang and Da-Zhao Chen, On a certain subclass of close-to-convex functions, *Hacet. J. Math. Stat.* **38**(2)(2009), 95-101.
- [6] S.Owa, On a class of starlike functions II, *J.Korean Math.Soc* **19**(1)(1982),29-38.
- [7] F.R.Keogh, E.P.Merkes, A coefficient inequality for certain classes of analytic functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **20** 1969, 8-12.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CENTRAL UNIVERSITY OF RAJASTHAN, KISHANGARH, DISTT.-AJMER, RAJASTHAN, INDIA

*E-mail address:* jkp.0007@rediffmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INSTITUTE OF APPLIED SCIENCES HUMANITIES, G. L. A. UNIVERSITY, MATHURA, U. P., INDIA,

*E-mail address:* ambuj\_math@rediffmail.com